

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

Amendments to the Claims:

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1. (Previously Amended) A learning item sequencing system for optimizing a student's learning speed, the system comprising:

a computer including one or more memory portions;

the memory portions comprising:

a problem database containing a plurality of learning items, wherein a learning item is presented on each learning trial;

a trial record database, for storing response data regarding the student's response to each learning item, wherein the response data includes data relating to speed and accuracy;

software for implementing a trial loop, wherein the learning trials are presented to the student and response data are collected; and

software for implementing a sequencing algorithm, wherein the algorithm sequences the learning items to be presented by associating with a learning item a priority score as a function of the response data collected from prior learning trials and restricts one or more learning items from being presented in at least one learning trial based upon the priority score associated with the learning item.

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

2. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 1, wherein the response data collected for each learning trial includes the student's accuracy in answering the presented learning item and the student's response speed if the item was correctly answered.

3. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 2, wherein each learning trial includes a priority score associated with the particular learning item.

4. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 3, wherein the sequencing algorithm continuously updates the priority score of each learning item based on the response data collected on the immediately preceding learning trial.

5. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 4, wherein the number of trials since a particular learning item was last presented is a variable used by the sequencing algorithm in continuously updating the priority score of each learning item.

6. (Previously Amended) The sequencing system of claim 4, wherein [the] relative importance of the student's speed and accuracy in sequencing of learning items is a parameter.

7. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 5, wherein the software for implementing a trial loop includes a problem selector, the problem selector selecting the learning item with the highest priority score for presentation to the student.

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

8. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 6, wherein when a subset of the plurality of learning items have the same high priority score, the problem selector selects the learning item for presentation at random from the subset of learning items with the same high priority score.

9. (Previously Amended) The sequencing system of claim 6, wherein the sequencing algorithm increases the priority score for each incorrectly answered learning item, wherein the probability of each incorrectly answered learning item being again selected by the problem selector increases, whereby [the] delay in learning item reappearance decreases.

10. (Previously Amended) The sequencing system of claim 6, wherein the sequencing algorithm decreases the priority score for each correctly answered learning item, wherein the probability of that learning item being again selected by the problem selector decreases, whereby [the] delay in learning item reoccurrence increases.

11. (Previously Amended) The sequencing system of claim 10, wherein the sequencing algorithm decreases the priority score for each correctly answered learning item as a function of the student's response time in answering the question, wherein [the] delay in a particular learning item's reappearance increases as the student's response time to the particular learning item decreases.

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

12. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 5, wherein the sequencing algorithm prevents the same learning item from recurring for a predetermined number of trials.

13. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 6, wherein each learning item may be assigned an initial priority score.

14. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 13, wherein the sequencing algorithm does not modify the priority score of each item until after its first presentation.

15. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 14, wherein the initial order of appearance of all, or some, of the learning items may be determined in advance by assigning all, or some, of the learning items initial priority scores in ascending or descending order.

16. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 11, wherein the software for implementing the trial loop includes a learning item retirement feature, wherein the learning items are retired from the problem database upon meeting a predetermined learning criterion.

17. (Previously Amended) The sequencing system of claim 16, wherein [the] a learning criterion for each particular learning item is a function of the student's accuracy in answering the learning item, and response speed for each correct

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

answer, over a predetermined number of repetitions of the learning item.

18. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 17, wherein a session resumption feature stores an individual learner's data for learning items, in terms of speed, accuracy, and problem retirement status for continuing the learning during another session at a later time.

19. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 17, wherein the session resumption feature allows learning to continue at another time whence the retirement count of retired learning items is reduced, bringing them back for review and "re-retiring" if performance still meets learning criteria.

20. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 17, wherein the session resumption feature allows previously retired learning items brought back for review to rejoin the active problem set if performance criteria do not meet the previously established learning criteria.

21. (Original) A learning item sequencing system for optimizing a student's learning, comprising:

a computer including a central processing unit, a visual display device, at least one input device, and one or more memory portions;

the memory portions comprising:

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

a problem database, wherein a plurality of learning items for presentation to the student is stored in the database, and further wherein each learning item has an associated priority score;

a trial record database, for storing a record containing response data regarding the student's response to each learning item, the response data collected for each learning item including the student's accuracy in answering each learning item and the student's response speed for each correctly answered learning item, the response data further including the number of trials since each particular learning item was last presented;

software for implementing a trial loop, wherein the learning trials are presented to the student and the response data are collected, the software including a problem selector, wherein the problem selector selects the learning item with the highest priority score for presentation to the student; and

software for implementing a sequencing algorithm, wherein the algorithm continuously updates the priority scores for each learning item as a function of the response data collected from the prior learning trials, the sequencing algorithm increasing the priority score for each incorrectly answered learning item and decreasing the priority score for each correctly answered learning item, wherein the probability of a particular learning item being again selected by the problem selector increases or decreases as a function of priority score.

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

22. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 21, wherein the sequencing algorithm continuously updates the priority score of each learning item based on the response data collected for the immediately proceeding learning trial.

23. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 21, wherein when a subset of the plurality of learning items have the same high priority score, the problem selector selects the learning item for presentation at random from the subset of learning items with the same high priority score.

24. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 21, wherein the sequencing algorithm decreases the priority score for each correctly answered learning item as a function of the student's response time in answering the question, wherein the faster the student's response time the greater the decrease in priority score.

25. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 21, wherein the sequencing algorithm prevents the same learning item from recurring for a predetermined number of trials.

26. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 21, wherein the priority score associated with each learning item may be assigned an initial value.

27. (Previously Amended) The sequencing system of claim 26, wherein the sequencing algorithm does not modify the

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

priority score of each learning item until after the first presentation of each particular learning item.

28. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 26, wherein the initial order of appearance of all, or some, of the learning items may determined in advance by assigning all, or some, of the learning items initial priority scores in ascending or descending order.

29. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 21, wherein the software for implementing the trial loop includes a learning item retirement feature, wherein the learning items are retired from the problem database upon meeting a predetermined learning criterion.

30. (Original) The sequencing system of claim 21, wherein the learning criterion for each particular learning item is a function of the student's accuracy in answering that learning item, and response speed for each correct answer, over a predetermined number of repetitions of the learning item.

31. (Previously Amended) A method for learning item sequencing for optimizing a student's learning speed, the method comprising:

supplying a computer including one or more memory portions;
the memory portions having software which performs the steps of:

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

building and maintaining a problem database containing a plurality of learning items;

building and maintaining a trial record database, for storing response data regarding the student's response to each learning item, wherein the response data includes data relating to speed and accuracy;

implementing a trial loop, wherein the learning items are presented to the student and response data are collected; and

implementing a sequencing algorithm, wherein the algorithm sequences the learning items to be presented as a function of the response data collected from prior learning trials by associating priority scores with learning items and restricting certain learning items from being presented in at least one learning trial.

32. (Previously withdrawn) A perceptual learning system for teaching students to recognize diagnostic structure from varying instances, the system comprising:

a problem database containing a plurality of learning items which are examples of different concepts, which, with respect to any one concept, includes a number of positive instances containing diagnostic structural elements and a number of negative instances which do not contain the diagnostic structural elements of the concept; and

software for implementing a trial loop, wherein the learning items are presented to the student in the form of short speeded classification trials.

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

33. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 32, wherein the problem database includes learning trials in the form of structure discovery trials and structure mapping trials, the structure discovery trials requiring the student to recognize the diagnostic structure that determines a concept in a particular learning domain, distinguish positive and negative instances of the concept, and process the distinguishing information rapidly, and the structure mapping trials requiring the student to associate a structure in one representational format with the structure as it appears in one or more different representational formats.

34. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 33, wherein the problem database contains numerous instances exemplifying a given concept to be learned, and the set of instances systematically varies in attributes and dimensions other than the diagnostic structure determining the concept.

35. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 33, wherein for each concept to be learned, the problem database contains numerous instances not belonging to that concept, for use as negative instances in classification trials.

36. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 33, wherein for each concept to be learned, the negative instances systematically vary in their attributes and

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

dimensions and share with positive instances of the concept various attributes and dimensions other than the diagnostic structure features determining inclusion of the concept.

37. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 32, wherein the learning trials include a pattern classification response or a pattern comparison response.

38. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 32, wherein the student is given feedback regarding his performance after each learning trial.

39. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 32, further including a trial record database, for storing response data regarding the student's response to each learning item and the concept of which it is an instance, the response data including the accuracy of the student's answer to each learning item, and the speed of the student's response to each correctly answered learning item.

40. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 39, wherein the software for implementing the trial loop includes a retirement feature for concepts, wherein the individual concepts are retired from the problem database upon meeting a predetermined learning criterion for instances of that concept, wherein the learning criterion is a function of the student's accuracy in answering novel instances of that concept, and response speed for each correct answer, over a predetermined number of presentations of instances of that concept.

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

41. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 32, wherein the software for implementing a trial loop includes a problem selector, the problem selector selecting each learning item at random from the problem database.

42. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 41, wherein the problem selector, selects each learning item from the problem database according to the system of claim 21.

43. (Previously withdrawn) A perceptual learning system for teaching students to recognize diagnostic structure from varying instances, the system comprising:

a computer including a central processing unit, a visual display device, at least one input device, and one or more memory portions;

the memory portions comprising:

a problem database containing a plurality of learning items, wherein each learning item is in the form of a structure discovery trial or a structure mapping trial, the structure discovery trials requiring the student to recognize the diagnostic structure that determines a concept in a particular learning domain, distinguish positive and negative instances of the concept, and process the distinguishing information rapidly, and the structure mapping trials requiring the student to associate a structure in one representational format with the

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

structure as it appears in one or more different representational formats;

software for implementing a trial loop, wherein the learning trials are presented to the student in the form of short speeded classification trials, wherein the classification trials include a pattern classification response or a pattern comparison response.

44. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 43, wherein the student is given feedback regarding his performance after each learning trial.

45. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 43, further including a trial record database, for storing response data regarding the student's response to each learning trial, the response data including the accuracy of the student's answer to each learning trial, and the speed of the student's response to each correctly answered learning trial.

46. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 43, wherein the software for implementing the trial loop includes a concept retirement feature, wherein concepts are retired from the problem database upon meeting a predetermined learning criterion, wherein the learning criterion is a function of the student's accuracy in answering the instances of that concept, and response speed for each correct answer, over a predetermined number of repetitions of each concept.

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

47. (Previously withdrawn) The sequencing system of claim 46, wherein the contributions to concept retirement of learner speed, accuracy, and number of presentations meeting speed and accuracy criteria, are parameter adjustable.

48. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 43, wherein the software for implementing a trial loop includes a problem selector, the problem selector selecting a learning item for each learning trial at random from the problem database.

49. (Previously withdrawn) The perceptual learning system of claim 43, wherein the problem selector, selects a learning item for each learning trial from the problem database according to the system of claim 17.

50. (Previously withdrawn) A perceptual learning method for teaching students to recognize diagnostic structure from varying instances, comprising the steps of:

supplying a computer including one or more memory portions;
the memory portions having software which performs the steps of:
building and maintaining a problem database containing a plurality of learning items which are examples of different concepts, which, with respect to any one concept, includes a number of positive instances containing diagnostic structural elements and a number of negative instances which do not contain the diagnostic structural elements of the concept; and

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

implementing a trial loop, wherein the learning trials are presented to the student in the form of short speeded classification trials.

51. (Previously withdrawn) An automated, time-based hinting method for promoting learning and preventing student frustration, the method comprising the steps of:

- running a learning program;
- building and maintaining a database of hints
- presenting a student with a learning trial;
- selecting a hint if the student fails to respond in a predetermined period of time or fails to answer the learning item for that trial correctly; and
- presenting the hint to the student.

52. (Previously withdrawn) The hinting method of claim 51, further including the step of providing feedback to the student after the student has responded to the hint.

53. (Previously withdrawn) The hinting method of claim 51, wherein the software selects hints from the group consisting of inverse operation hints, known problem hints, easy problem hints, and solved problem hints.

54. (Previously withdrawn) The hinting method of claim 51, wherein the software includes a hint selector that selects hints at random from the database of hints.

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

55. (Previously withdrawn) The hinting method of claim 51, wherein the software includes a hint selector that selects hints according to an algorithm.

56. (Previously withdrawn) The hinting method of claim 53, wherein the software includes a hint selector that keeps track of previously used hints and hint categories to ensure that different hint types and instances are used about equally often.

57. (Previously withdrawn) An automated, time-based system for providing hints in order promote learning and prevent student frustration, the system comprising:

a computer including a central processing unit, a visual display device, at least one input device, and one or more memory portions;

the memory portions comprising:

software for running a learning program;

a hint database containing a plurality of hints; and
software for implementing a hinting procedure, wherein a student is presented with a learning trial and a hint is selected and presented to the student if the student fails to respond in a predetermined period of time or fails to answer the learning item for that trial correctly.

58. (Previously withdrawn) The hinting system of claim 57, wherein the hint procedure provides feedback to the student after the student has responded to the hint.

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

59. (Previously withdrawn) The hinting system of claim 57, wherein hints are selected from the group consisting of inverse operation hints, known problem hints, easy problem hints, and solved problem hints.

60. (Previously withdrawn) The hinting system of claim 57, wherein the software includes a hint selector that selects hints at random from the database of hints.

61. (Previously withdrawn) The hinting system of claim 57, wherein the hint procedure includes a hint selector that selects hints at random from the database of hints.

62. (Previously withdrawn) The hinting system of claim 57, wherein the hint procedure includes a hint selector that keeps track of previously used hints to ensure that different hint types are used about equally often.

63. (Previously added) The learning system of claim 1, wherein the sequencing algorithm sequences categories of learning items.

64. (Previously added) The learning system of claim 1, wherein the learning items comprise categories of learning items.

65. (Previously added) The learning system of claim 1, wherein the sequencing algorithm further includes one or more

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

parameters selected from the group consisting of relative importance of speed and accuracy, minimum trials separating learning item recurrence, and retirement criteria, wherein one or more parameters may be adjusted to suit different learning material, tasks or individuals.

66. (Previously added) The learning system of claim 21, wherein the sequencing algorithm sequences categories of learning items.

67. (Previously added) The learning system of claim 21, wherein the learning items comprise categories of learning items.

68. (Previously added) The learning system of claim 21, wherein the sequencing algorithm further includes one or more parameters selected from the group consisting of relative importance of speed and accuracy, minimum trials separating learning item recurrence, and retirement criteria, wherein one or more parameters may be adjusted to suit different learning material, tasks or individuals.

69. (Currently amended) The learning system of claim [21] 31, wherein the sequencing algorithm sequences categories of learning items.

70. (Currently amended) The learning system of claim [21] 31, wherein the learning items comprise categories of items.

Appln No. 10/020,718

Amdt date February 4, 2004

Reply to Office action of December 31, 2003

71. (Previously added) The learning item sequencing method of claim 31, wherein the step of building and maintaining a problem database containing a plurality of learning items comprises building a plurality of learning items representing categories of items.

72. (Previously added) The learning sequencing method of claim 31, wherein the sequencing algorithm further includes one or more parameters selected from the group consisting of relative importance of speed and accuracy, minimum trials separating learning item recurrence, and retirement criteria, wherein one or more parameters are adjusted to suit different learning material, tasks or individuals.